

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRIAN TASH,

Plaintiff,

v.

VISION SERVICE PLAN a.k.a. VSP  
GLOBAL, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:25-cv-00762-DJC-JDP

PETER HAHN,

Plaintiff,

v.

VISION SERVICE PLAN a.k.a. VSP  
GLOBAL, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:25-cv-01580-JAM-JDP

RELATED CASE ORDER

Defendants have filed a notice of related cases in both of the above-captioned actions. Examination of these actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Both actions involve the common parties, are based on the same or a similar claim, and raise similar questions of fact and law. See Local Rule 123(a)(1),

(3). Accordingly, the assignment of these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions to be assigned to the same judge, it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related cases are generally assigned to the judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that 2:24-cv-01580 is reassigned from District Judge John A. Mendez to the undersigned. As Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson is already assigned to both matters, no reassignment of the magistrate judge is necessary. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as 2:25-cv-1580-DJC-JDP.

It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 26, 2025

  
Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DJC1 - tash25cv00762.related\_cases